

# Artemis-enabled Stellar Imager (AeSI): Observing the Universe in High Definition Dr. Kenneth G. Carpenter (NIAC Fellow; NASA/GSFC) and the AeSI Team\*

kenneth.g.carpenter@nasa.gov | <https://hires.gsfc.nasa.gov/si/aesi.html>

# Context/Background for AeSI

2005: "Vision Mission" (VM) Concept for a free-flying, large baseline, UV-optical space interferometer called Stellar Imager (SI)

2024: A new concept, derived from *SI* but intended for construction on the lunar surface and operated in conjunction with the human Artemis Program called Artemis-enabled Stellar Imager (AeSI)

# **Potential and Benefits**

- **200x higher resolution than Hubble,** resolving stellar surfaces and inner regions of black hole environments
- **Perfect timing** to leverage planned Artemis human lunar infrastructure
- **Prospects superb:** ground-based optical interferometry works; forthcoming infrastructure makes lunar surface architecture both practical and compelling
- Boldly expands realm of the possible: many studies of free-flying space interferometers exist, but only limited studies of lunar designs (far-side radio)
- The capabilities of AeSI are truly Imaging Capabilities of a 500m baseline, 30 element Interferometer (e.g. SI game-changing (Fig. 3), including resolving stellar surfaces and magnetic activity

# **Baseline Design** (Developed in collaboration with the GSFC Integrated Design Center)





Aesh

Fig. 4: Hub and cart arrangement with the initial 15 rovers. When viewing a target off-zenith, the array elements will be distributed along an ellipse elongated in the direction of the target (max diameter = 1 km) (left: angled view, right: top-down view).

- <sup>•</sup> 1 km major-axis lunar-surface-based UV-optical Michelson interferometer, built and operated in conjunction with the human Artemis Program

What has changed in the last ~20 years? Why consider the lunar option now?

The large "Vision Missions" (esp. interferometers) were mostly put on hold pending completion of JWST • Per the Pierre Bely et al. study<sup>1</sup>, it was perceived that unless there was pre-existing infrastructure on the lunar surface, it was easier and better to build a large space interferometer as a free-flyer. Therefore, the VM studies all considered free-flyers [1] "Kilometric baseline space interferometry," Proc. SPIE 2807, Space Telescopes and Instruments IV, (12 October 1996); doi: 10.1117/12.255123

•With the Artemis project planning to put humans and their infrastructure on the Moon within the next decade, now is the time to fully consider the lunar option!

### A New Hope for Space Interferometry

• The environment is changing with the Artemis Program and the aggressive push to establish a permanent human presence on the Moon. Building of the base is on track to begin within the next decade near the lunar south pole

There is high interest in small science experiments that could take advantage of the infrastructure, and the scale

on nearby sun-like stars (~30 stars within 4 pc), convective cells on red supergiants out to 2 kpc, planet-forming regions, and the central regions of AGN

# SI imaging nearby AGN will differentiate between possible BELR geometries & inclinations SI imaging of planet forming environments: nagnetosphere-disk interaction region - and Baseline: 500 m

Fig. 3: Simulations of what AeSI would image.

### Wide Range of Enabled Science:

#### **Magnetic Processes in Stars**

activity and its impact on planetary climates and on the origin and maintenance of life;

stellar structure and evolution **Stellar interiors** 

in solar and non-solar type stars Infant Stars/Disk systems

accretion foot-points, magnetic field structure & star/disk interaction **Exoplanet Host Stars** transit light source effect, escaping atmospheres from gas giants, H II

fluorescence in hot Jupiter atmospheres

#### **Hot Stars**

hot polar winds, non-radial pulsations, envelopes and shells of Be-stars **Cool, Evolved Giant & Supergiant Stars** spatiotemporal structure of extended atmospheres, pulsation, winds, shocks Supernovae & Planetary Nebulae

close-in spatial structure Interacting Binary Systems resolve mass-exchange, dynamical evolution/accretion, study dynamos Active Galactic Nuclei

transition zone between Broad and Narrow Line Regions; origin & orientation of jets; distances

**Stage 1:** 15 primary, 1 meter mirror elements focusing on a beam-combining hub (Fig. 4) **Stage 2:** increase to 30 mirror elements and make enhancements to the hub

<sup>•</sup> Uses rovers in an elliptical array to provide path-length equalization without long delay-lines • A long-term mission (>10 years) is required to study stellar activity cycles:

• individual telescopes/hub can be refurbished or replaced by human or robotic servicing

**Conclusion from IDC study: AeSI has been deemed feasible! Gained several** recommendations that require further study and technology development

### **Rover/Primary Mirror Station Design**



#### Fig. 5: Top: Artist rendering of the 1-m primary mirror on a rover with standing legs deployed during observations.

**Bottom:** Optical design for the rovers. The light beam extends out of the image to the central hub, following the paths shown in the bottom of Fig. 6.

## Hub Design





#### Artist renderings by B. Griswold (NASA/GSFC), internal optics by the IDC & D. Mozurkewich

of those opportunities will grow

• Our NIAC study is investigating the possibility of constructing a large-baseline, UV/optical interferometer near this human base to leverage the Artemis infrastructure

Fig. 1: AeSI would be located adjacent to one of these potential locations for the permanent Artemis base (shaded in blue). For this study we considered: Connecting Ridge, Peak Near Shackleton, and Faustini Rim A (outlined in yellow).

### Heritage for AeSI: Stellar Imager (SI)

• UV-optical interferometer to provide 0.1 mas spectral imaging of numerous astronomical phenomena, esp. magnetic field structures that govern: formation of stars & planetary systems, habitability of planets, space weather, transport processes on many scales in Universe A "Flagship" (Vision) mission in the NASA 2005 SSSC Roadmap and a candidate "Pathways to Life Observatory" in the NASA 2005 EUD Roadmap

# Required Capabilities for UV/Optical Interferometer

Wavelength coverage: 1200 – 6600 Å

Access to UV emission lines from Ly $\alpha$  (1216 Å) to Mg II (2800 Å) for stellar surface imaging

• important diagnostics of most abundant elements

- much higher contrast between magnetic structures and background
- smaller baselines (UV save 2-4x vs. optical, active regions 5x larger)
- ~10-Å UV pass bands, e.g. C IV (100,000 K); Mg II h&k (10,000 K)
- Broadband, near-UV or optical (3,000-10,000 K) for high temporal resolution spatially-resolved asteroseismology to resolve internal structure Angular resolution of 50 µarcsec at 1200 Å (120 µas @2800 Å) ~1000 pixels of resolution over the surface of nearby dwarf stars
- Enable energy resolution/spectroscopy of detected structures
- Long-term (~ 10 year) mission to study stellar activity cycles: • individual telescopes/hubs can be refurbished or replaced

# nnovations

Build: 1 km baseline UV-Optical interferometer on the Moon

# **Preliminary Results**

### Major Improvements from the Initial Design

- Removed second set of rovers for delay-line optics by using asymmetric primary array configurations to remove large path-length differences (target-to-primary-to-hub) for off-zenith targets; remaining delay lines can be fit inside the primary mirror rover
- Increased size of primary mirrors to improve
- sensitivity ( $0.5 \rightarrow 1 \text{ m}$ )
- Increased baseline of initial array  $(0.5 \rightarrow 1 \text{ km})$  to maintain resolution while going deeper into sky for more targets (driven by paucity of targets visible from lunar south pole)



Fig. 7: Left: Initial AeSI double-rover design. Right: New singlerover design with light path to hub shown.

### **Challenges/Future Work**

Low UV sensitivity due to number of reflections in delay-line part of the system Surviving lunar night and enabling more continuous observations when the array is in shadow; future work includes investigating remote power station options such as: a solar array located on a nearby peak with more illumination, a nuclear power source located over a nearby hill, or having power supplied by Artemis infrastructure

- Refining support needed from Artemis infrastructure (humans and/or robots)
- Investigate possibility of putting primary mirror carts on rails





Baselines ~ 100-1000 m

Launch to Sun-earth L<sub>2</sub>

Mission duration ~10 yrs Fig. 2: http://hires.gsfc.nasa.gov/si/ • Novel technologies: rovers to move primary mirror stations on lunar surface, hub to combine beams from stations in variable configurations, dust and scattered light control, technologies needed for long-baseline interferometers in space

- Eliminates: the need for precision formation flying
- **Science:** supports broad spectrum of science investigations
- **Timing:** can build as soon as infrastructure available on the Moon



Mission concept developed by NASA/GSFC in collaboration with experts from Industry, Universities, and Astronomical Institutes

K. G. Carpenter (NASA/GSFC), Fellow J. Foster (BAE Systems) F. Boyajian (LSU) Q. Gong (NASA/GSFC) J. Brashear (CUA) M. Karovska (CfA) D, Buzasi (FGCU) D. Kim (NASA/GSFC) J. Clark (NRL) D. Leisawitz (NASA/GSFC) M. Creech-Eakman (NMT/MROI) J. A. Morse (CalTech) B. Dean (NASA/GSFC)

D. Mozurkewich (Seabrook B. Sitarski (NASA/GSFC) W. Smith (NASA/GSFC) Engineering) S. Peacock (UMBC-NASA/GSFC) B. Taylor (NASA/GSFC) G. Van Belle (Lowell Obs.) N. Petro (NASA/GSFC) G. Rau (CUA-NASA/GSFC) E. Wilkinson (BAE Systems) P. Scowen (NASA/GSFC) L. Seals (NASA/GSFC)

